

## **BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPEN SESSION AGENDA**



Thursday, October 10, 2024 at 4:00 pm Click here to register to attend

**Elected Directors:** Dave Uffelmann

Carla Clarkson-Ladd Line Villeneuve

Bruce Schouten

Tim Ellis Marni Dicker Moreen Miller Colleen Nisbet Jody Boxall

**Ex-Officio Directors:** 

Cheryl Harrison

Anna Landry

Dr. Khaled Abdel-Razek

Dr. William Evans Diane George

Dr. Helen Dempster

Dr. Joseph Gleeson

Mary Lyne

**Executive Support:** 

Alasdair Smith

Mary Silverthorn

Tammy Tkachuk

Janet Short (R)

Bobbie Clark

Brady Wood

**Guests:** 

Chuck Wertheimer, RPG

Natalie Petricca, Stantec

Bob Picken, Hanscombe

Shannon Crowder, RPG

Krista Cauz, RPG

(R) denotes regrets received; (V) denotes participation virtually

PAGE	ITEM#/	TOPIC - WHAT IS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED/MOTION	LINK TO STRATEGIC	LINK TO	TIME
#	LEAD	♦ denotes attachment ☑ denotes attachment to follow	DIRECTION	GOVERNACE ROLE	(Min.)

#### CALL TO ORDER

	O'LL TO O'LD LIT				
	1.1 D. Uffelmann	NATE NATIONAL   Alicano antical la calle and a called a language and a called a language and a			
1	1.2 D. Uffelmann	Approval of Agenda MOTION: That the meeting agenda be approved as circulated.	Not applicable	Decision Making	4:00 -
	1.3 D. Uffelmann	Declaration of Conflict of Interest  To remind members that conflicts are to be declared for any agenda items and the Director shall not attend any part of a meeting during which the matter in which they have a conflict is discussed.	Not applicable	Not Applicable	4:05 (5)

### 2.0 STRATEGIC DIRECTION

2.1 D. Uffelmann	Stage 1.3 Functional Program •  To review and discuss the Stage 1.3 Functional Program components and the recommendation from the Capital Redevelopment Steering Committee.	Sustainable Future	Decision Making	4:05 – 5:00 (55)
 2.2 D. Uffelmann	In-Camera Session  MOTION: That the meeting retire to an in-camera session to discuss the detailed financial analysis for the Stage 1.3 submission in accordance with the "Board Meetings" policy, matters involving material contracts.	Sustainable Future	Decision Making	5:00 – 5:30 (30)



2.3 D. Uffelmann	Stage 1.3 Functional Program Recommendation* MOTION: Whereas the Province of Ontario is making a significant investment to improve and expand healthcare in Muskoka and area by supporting the development of 2 new acute care hospitals;			
	And Whereas Muskoka Algonquin Healthcare has undertaken diligent and thorough analysis supported by comprehensive data, and conducted extensive community and stakeholder consultations to develop an innovative model to expand services and improve the quality of care for all residents;			
	And Whereas the submission of the Stage 1.3 Functional Program documents is an important milestone in the Capital Redevelopment process, Muskoka Algonquin Healthcare acknowledges and commits to continued evaluation and consultation with patients, staff, local physicians, politicians, and community members on matters related to bed capacity, inter-hospital transportation for patients and families, and community based healthcare services and programs to support an integrated approach to healthcare in Muskoka and area;	Quality Care & Safety	Oversight	5:30 – 5:45 (15)
	And Whereas there will be an increase of 10 incremental net new beds at the Bracebridge Site at a very early estimated capital cost of \$45-50 million which includes an increase to the local share of approximately \$10 million, yet to be confirmed by the consultants;			
	Now Therefore, the Capital Redevelopment Steering Committee recommends that the Board of Muskoka Algonquin Healthcare supports the submission of documents required for Stage 1.3 Functional Program which is underpinned by two new acute care hospitals with new and expanded services to provide exemplary care to communities within the Muskoka Algonquin Healthcare catchment area, to the Province of Ontario.			

## 3.0 WRAP UP & ADJOURNMENT

	MOTION: That the meeting be adjourned.	Not applicable	Not Applicable	5:45	
I I) Uffelmann			1 1	1	





**PATIENT- AND FAMILY-CENTERED CARE** at Muskoka Algonquin Healthcare (MAHC) is a philosophy of care that ardently promotes the partnership between patients, families, and health care providers at all points of the patient's journey including key transition points such as transfer to another facility, another unit in the hospital, or discharge home.

#### MAHC DEFINITION OF QUALITY

Quality at MAHC results in shared decision-making between the patient/family and health care team to achieve a patient identified desired health outcome. MAHC will deliver safe, effective, patient-centered services, efficiently, and in a timely fashion, resulting in optimal health status for our patients.

	uality Care	
Element	Patient Meaning	Provider Meaning
Safe	I will not be harmed by the health system.	The care my patient receives does not cause the patient to be harmed.
Effective	I receive the right treatment for my condition, and it contributes to improving my health.	The care I provide is based on best evidence and produces the desired outcome.
Patient Centered	My goals and preferences are respected. My family and I are treated with respect and dignity.	Decisions about my patient's care reflect the goals and preferences of the patient and his or her family or caregivers.
Efficient	The care I receive from all practitioners is well coordinated and efforts are not duplicated.	I deliver care to my patients using available human, physical, and financial resources efficiently, with no waste to the system.
Timely	I know how long I have to wait to see a doctor or for tests or treatments I need and why. I am confident this wait time is safe and appropriate.	My patient can receive care within an acceptable time after the need is identified.
Equitable	No matter who I am or where I live, I can access services that benefit me. I am fairly treated by the health care system.	Every individual has access to the services they need, regardless of his/her location, age, gender, or socio-economic status.

#### ISSUE FOCUSED ETHICAL DECISION MAKING FRAMEWORK

The intent of this framework is to enable decision makers to address complex and challenging issues in a comprehensive and logical manner. It is a reflective process intended to stimulate discussion to identify explicit reasons for or against a proposed course of action, and to do that in the context of the Mission, Vision and Values.



#### SITUATION

#### Understand the Problem

Tell the Story

What exactly is the problem we have to solve?

Who needs to be involved in the decision-making?

Who has the authority to make the decision?

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### Set the Context

What values or principles are either engaged or are in conflict?

How do MAHC's Mission, Vision and Values fit?

Is there relevant law?

Is there relevant MAHC policy/procedure?

Is there relevant professional ethical policy?

What is my personal context and/or bias?

Was the ethicists' assistance required?

# ASSESSMENT Consider the Options

Ask first – is doing nothing an option?

What are the Benefits or Strengths?

What are the Harms / Limitations / Consequences?

How does this align with values?

How does this align with relevant MAHC Values/Principles/Policies and Legislation/Laws?

## RECOMMENDATION

#### Develop an Action Plan

What is the decision?

Does the decision pass the TV test?

What is the implementation plan?

Who has to take action?

What is the communication plan?

How do we evaluate/revise the action plan if required?

